# Proposed Natural Resources Plan:

Submitter:

Jenny Clark

Submitter Number:

**S106** 

Form 5: Submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region This is a submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Freepost 3156

Wellington Regional Council

PO Box 11646 Wellington 6142 Or email:

regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Jenny Clark supports the Coastal Ratepayers United (CRU) submission set

Your details				
Full name:	Jenny Clark			
Organisation name: (If applicable)				
Address for Service:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	American de la constante de la		
6 The Parade, Paekakar	iki 5034		4-1-1	
Telephone no's:	Work:	Но	me:	Cell:
Contact person: 0	0223844012	والمحافظة الماداء الما	WATER THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT THE	
Address and telephor	ie no (if different fi	rom above):		
We will send you upd	Council has a prefe lates on the proces		vide you with details of	posed Natural Resources Plan via email. any meetings and the hearing. Please
	lark@xtra.co.nz	Tro Communication	Jiridin.	
. Handad Sabara		MICH. STEIN ST. S. SALVA, W. SERVE LA LANGE EN LA LANGE EN LA LANGE EN LA	Adul Mit al II had right II al a de de d'Alain de la la la reem [ muse per per page per page per page per page	
Trade competitio	)11			
⊠ I/we could not g	jain an advantage	in trade competition th	rough this submission.	[Go straight to Your Submission]
		rade competition throug ease complete one of th		
		ed by an effect of the su not relate to trade comp		mission that adversely affects the trade competition.
		fected by an effect of th not relate to trade comp		submission that adversely affects the trade competition.
Your submission	n			
		sed Natural Resource		
The specific provision of Natural Resources Plan relates to is (please spec section number):	that my submission	My submission on this provision is: →	I support the provi	
		Reasons for my submission: →		
		I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	Sorry, can't see where I ca it all here! September 2015	an simply support the CRU submission, so you get

out below.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan (PNRP) that this submission relates to

The whole PNRP.

Submission on the provisions

Oppose and seek amendment.

Reasons for the submission

The whole PNRP, including the objectives, policies, rules, methods, schedules, maps and definitions, does not appropriately address and enable coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) measures including, in particular, for areas of significant existing development.

This applies both in the coastal marine area and in other areas, including beds of rivers and streams.

Appropriate coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities should be provided for as permitted or controlled activities.

Coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities should, at worst, be discretionary activities and, where resource consent is required, there should be provisions in the objectives and policies that would support consent being obtained, not provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.

The PNRP should clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports should be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions. This is important to avoid the coastal hazard risk assessment and risk management problems that have occurred in Kapiti and that are occurring elsewhere in New Zealand.

The PNRP is not in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991, including s 32, and sound resource management practice. The PNRP fails to give effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region.

Adequate and appropriate s 32 RMA evaluations and reports have not been undertaken or regarded.

The reasons in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. are supported and adopted.

Decision sought:

Revise the whole PNRP, including the objectives, policies, rules, methods, schedules, maps and definitions, to appropriately address and enable coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities in the coastal marine area and other areas (including beds of rivers and streams), including especially for areas of significant existing development.

When making the revisions, pay particular attention to enabling coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities in areas of significant existing development.

Include objectives and policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially in areas of significant existing development.

Include objectives and policies that, if a resource consent is required, support that consent being obtained, not provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.

Provide for appropriate coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities to be permitted or controlled activities.

•		
		Provide for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities to be, at worst, discretionary activities and ensure that none of them is (or could become due to other rules) a non-complying or prohibited activity.
		Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.
		Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the Resource Management Act 1991, including that they give effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region.
		Undertake appropriate s 32 evaluations and prepare revised s 32 reports, having proper regard to s 32 matters, including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.
		The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. are supported and adopted in this submission.
		s Plan that this submission relates to are:
The specific provision of the Proposed	My submission on this	support the provision
Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	provision is: ->	I oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
The specific provisions of the Propo The specific provision of the Proposed	sed Natural Resource My submission on this	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	provision is: ->	I support the provision I oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
,	Reasons for my submission: →	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
The specific provisions of the Propo  The specific provision of the Proposed	Sed Natural Resource My submission on this	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	provision is: →	I oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
acaion number).	Reasons for my submission: ->	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
f you have more submissions yo	1	ease find more boxes at the bottom of this document
A		
Attendance and wish to be he  I/We do wish to be heard in support	ort of my/our submissio	
[Note: This means that you wish t	o speak in support of y	our submission at the hearing(s).]

☐ I/We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submiss [Note: This means that you cannot speak at the hearing. H made by the Wellington Regional Council to the Environment	owever, you will still retain your right to appeal any decision
If others make a similar submission, I will consider present	ing a joint case with them at a hearing.
Signature:	Date:
[Person making submission or person authorised to sign on be an electronic submission]	ehalf of person making submission. NB. Not required if making
Publication of details	
Wellington Regional Council is legally required to notify a summ as provided on this submission form. Your name and address at to serve you with a copy of it.	ary of submissions, including your name and address for service re included so that a person making a further submission is able

The specific provisions of the Propo	sed Natural Resource	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	Support the provision Oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: ->	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
- I Alderson - V A	<del>}</del>	
The enecific provisions of the Bropo	sed Natural Recourse	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	I support the provision I oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
<u> </u>	1	
The specific provisions of the Propo The specific provision of the Proposed	sed Natural Resource My submission on this	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	provision is:	oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: >	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
The specific provisions of the Branc	ead Natural Raenurca	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
The specific provision of the Proposed  Natural Resources Plan that my submission	My submission on this provision is: →	I support the provision
relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	provision is.	I oppose the provision I wish to have the specific provision amended
,	Reasons for my submission: ->	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	
The specific provisions of the Propo	sed Natural Resource	es Plan that this submission relates to are:
The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/	My submission on this provision is: →	□ I support the provision □ I oppose the provision □ I wish to have the specific provision amended
section number):	Reasons for my submission: →	,
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	



## September 2015

Jenny Clark supports the Coastal Ratepayers United (CRU) submission set out below.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan (PNRP) that this submission relates to

The whole PNRP.

### Submission on the provisions

Oppose and seek amendment.

#### Reasons for the submission

The whole PNRP, including the objectives, policies, rules, methods, schedules, maps and definitions, does not appropriately address and enable coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) measures including, in particular, for areas of significant existing development.

This applies both in the coastal marine area and in other areas, including beds of rivers and streams.

Appropriate coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities should be provided for as permitted or controlled activities.

Coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities should, at worst, be discretionary activities and, where resource consent is required, there should be provisions in the objectives and policies that would support consent being obtained, not provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.

The PNRP should clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports should be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions. This is important to

avoid the coastal hazard risk assessment and risk management problems that have occurred in Kapiti and that are occurring elsewhere in New Zealand.

The PNRP is not in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991, including s 32, and sound resource management practice. The PNRP fails to give effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region.

Adequate and appropriate s 32 RMA evaluations and reports have not been undertaken or regarded.

The reasons in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. are supported and adopted.

### Decision sought:

Revise the whole PNRP, including the objectives, policies, rules, methods, schedules, maps and definitions, to appropriately address and enable coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities in the coastal marine area and other areas (including beds of rivers and streams), including especially for areas of significant existing development.

When making the revisions, pay particular attention to enabling coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities in areas of significant existing development.

Include objectives and policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially in areas of significant existing development.

Include objectives and policies that, if a resource consent is required, support that consent being obtained, not provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.

Provide for appropriate coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities to be permitted or controlled activities.

Provide for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities to be, at worst, discretionary activities and ensure that none of them is (or could become due to other rules) a non-complying or prohibited activity.

Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or

precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.

Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the Resource Management Act 1991, including that they give effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region.

Undertake appropriate s 32 evaluations and prepare revised s 32 reports, having proper regard to s 32 matters, including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.

The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. are supported and adopted in this submission.

