

Rounding out of objectives



Aim

- To look at the draft objectives in a number of different ways to:
 - Identify any inconsistencies/contradictions
 - Identify any risks to the objectives being met from uncertainties in the modelling
- Note: not all attributes were part of this analysis

Upstream/downstream comparison by objective (Table 1)

Porirua Catchment						
Upper Kenepuru						
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate	Ammonia	Zinc	Copper		
C	A	A	A	A		
↓						
Kenepuru Stream			Belmont Stream		Stebbings Stream	
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate	Ammonia	Zinc	Copper		
C	B	C	B	C	C	
					↓	
Porirua						
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate	Ammonia	Zinc	Copper		
C	B	C	C	C		

Diagram illustrating upstream/downstream comparison by objective (Table 1) for the Porirua Catchment. The table shows water quality objectives for five parameters (E.Coli, Nitrate, Ammonia, Zinc, Copper) across four locations: Upper Kenepuru, Kenepuru Stream, Belmont Stream, Stebbings Stream, and Porirua. Red arrows indicate the flow path from Upper Kenepuru to Kenepuru Stream, and from Kenepuru Stream, Belmont Stream, and Stebbings Stream to Porirua.

Upstream/downstream comparison by level of effort (Table 2)

Porirua Catchment				
Upper Kenepuru				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	WS+	Imp	WS	WS+
↓				
Kenepuru Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	Imp	Imp	Imp
→				
Belmont Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	BAU	BAU	Imp
→				
Stebbings Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS	BAU	BAU	WS+	WS+
↓				
Porirua				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	WS	Imp	Imp

Level of effort comparison to the Harbour (Table 3)

Pauatahanui Arm									
Zinc	Copper								
A/B (Imp)	A (Imp)	Intertidal							
B↑ (WS)	A (Imp)	Subtidal							
WMU									
Upper Duck Creek			Judgeford Stream		Horikiri and Motukaraka		Kakaho Stream		
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper	
WS+	WS+		BAU	Imp	WS+	WS+	Imp	Imp	
Pauatahanui Stream			Ration Creek						
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper					
Imp	WS		WS+	WS+					
Lower Duck Creek			Pauatahanui fringe stream						
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper					

Level of effort comparison to the Harbour (Table 3)

Onepoto Arm						
Zinc	Copper					
A (BAU)	A (BAU)	Intertidal				
C↑ (WS+)	B↑ (WS)	Subtidal				
WMU						
Rangituhi Stream			Upper Kenepuru			
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		
BAU	BAU		WS	WS+		
Whitireia			Takapu Stream			
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		
Imp	WS+		Imp	BAU		
Stebbings Stream			Belmont Stream			
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		
WS+	WS+		BAU	Imp		
Hukarito Stream			Mahinawa Stream			
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		
WS	WS+		Imp	WS+		
Kenepuru Stream			Porirua		Onepoto Fringe	
Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper		Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
Imp	Imp		Imp	Imp	WS+	WS+

Level of effort comparison within WMU groups (Table 4)

Rural

Horikiri and Motukaraka				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS	Imp	Imp	WS+	WS+

Kakaho Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	WS	WS	Imp	Imp

Judgeford Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	Imp	WS	BAU	Imp

Upper Duck Creek				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	Imp	Imp	WS+	WS+

Pauatahanui Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS	Imp	Imp	Imp	WS

Ration Creek				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
Imp+	WS	WS+	WS+	WS+

Urban

Hukarito Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	WS+	WS	WS+

Mahinawa Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	BAU	Imp	WS+

Onepoto Fringe				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
Imp	BAU	WS	WS+	WS+

Titahi				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
Imp	Imp	WS	WS	Imp

Kenepuru Stream				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	Imp	Imp	Imp

Porirua				
<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
WS+	BAU	WS	Imp	Imp



Belmont Stream Zinc objective

Belmont Stream					
Attribute	<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
Current State	E	B	C	C	C
Draft Objective	C	B	C	C	C
Scenario	WS+	BAU	BAU	BAU	Imp
Stebbings Stream					
Attribute	<i>E.Coli</i>	Nitrate toxicity	Ammonia Toxicity	Dissolved zinc	Dissolved Copper
Current State	E	C	B	A	A
Draft Objective	C	B	B	A	A
Scenario	WS	BAU	BAU	WS+	WS+

Belmont Stream				
Attribute	Current state	BAU	Imp	WS
Zinc	C	C↑	B	A

Recommendation

Consider changing the zinc objective from C to B

Modelling and data quirks

- *E.Coli* overestimation in the upper parts of rural WMUs
- Ammonia overestimation in rural WMUs
- Zinc and copper overestimation in Onepoto fringe

Recommendation

Retain draft objectives but note the effort required to achieve the objective might not be so high

Conclusions

- The draft objectives are looking good
- Further reviews of the objectives will need to be undertaken as we get more information e.g. economics, harbour modelling, contaminant loads
- Some key themes can be drawn out which will help with the development of the policy packages
 - Greenfield development areas are likely to require water sensitive efforts
 - In existing urban areas stormwater efforts generally require an improve effort and a water sensitive+ effort for wastewater
 - In rural WMUs a high level of effort is required to achieve the harbour objectives for sedimentation rate and % area with soft mud