Further Submitter Details

Further Submitters Name Enviro NZ Services Ltd

Further Submitter Number FS10

Further Submission Points

The table below displays all existing further submitter points linked to the further submitter above that are already in the database. To add an another further submission point, use the form below the table.

FS Point Number 나는	Original submitter	Related submission point	FS support oppose	Plan provision	Reasons	Decision requested	Decision sought	Notes	Status	Edit
No Data										

Raw further submission points

This table shows raw further submission points that have been entered via an online submissions.

Raw FS number	Related Submission Point	oint SupportOppose FS Decision requested		Decision sought Reasons		Entered into Spoken?	Transfer directly	
FS10.1	S239.002	Support	Allow	The addition of a definition for greenfield development is supported	Without a definition the application of this terminology is ambiguous and will unduly restrict development.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.2	S207.001	Support	Allow	All	The reasoning provided by the submitter is supported.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.3	S257.001	Support	Allow	All	Without the definition the term is ambiguous and will unduly restrict development.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.4	S2.001	Support	Allow	All	The term is ambiguous without a definition and will unduly restrict development.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.5	S2.002	Support	Allow	All	National Planning Standards should be utilised where possible.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.6	S206.006	Support	Allow	All	The reasons provided by the submitter are supported	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.7	S285.003	Support	Allow	Clarity is required for consenting of potential cleanfill sites	Cleanfill sites are part of waste infrastructure and must be enabled with appropriate consenting pathways.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.8	S206.017	Support	Allow	Support the status to become discretionary if not restricted discretionary. Withdrawal of the winter shutdown period is supported unless exclusions are applied to cleanfills, managed fills and landfills.	Cleanfills, managed fills and landfills all need to continue earthworks over the winter period. The rules need to account for these longterm ongoing permanent earthwork activities.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.9	S225.015	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.10	S206.019	Support	Allow	All	The same reasoning applies to cleanfill, managed fill and landfill sites.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.11	S206.015	Support	Allow	All	As provided by submission point	No	Transfer directly	

FS10.12 S226.001 Support Allow		Allow	All	Agreed that there needs to be more clarity prevention of double-ups in the rules if network discharge consents control discharges. Should be where discharges cannot comply with network discharge consents then discretionary activity is required.	No	Transfer directly	
FS10.13	S207.003	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.14	S206.009	Support in part	Allow in part	Should apply to cleanfills, managed fills and landfills in recognition of their similar footprint.	Agree that many high risk sites, including cleanfills and landfills would have difficult consenting pathways. Should allow for reasonable works that need to occur on these sites.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.15	S206.010	Support in part	Allow in part	Such a proposed rule should also apply with cleanfills, managed fills and landfills in accordance to their similar footprint.	As for submission point S206.009	No	Transfer directly
FS10.16	S206.020	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.17	S33.004	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.18	S101.004	Support in part	Allow in part	All	It is unclear whether the term "unplanned greenfield development" and associated terms also applies to regionally significant waste infrastructure, such as Class 1 landfills. Agree that prohibiting development based on underlying land use zoning does not recognise or account for the ability for requiring authorities to utilise a designation, but waste infrastructure is often delivered by private enterprises. Agree that the strong policy directive to prohibit unplanned greenfield development could be used as the rationale for declining resource consent or recommending the withdrawal of a notice of requirement for regionally significant waste infrastructure projects which often need a rural zoning for reverse sensitivity purposes. Agree that the proposed new "unplanned greenfield development projects despite the significant benefits that will accrue. Agree that the approach to unplanned greenfield development warrants significant rework to ensure that also regionally significant waste infrastructure providers can continue to meet the needs of the region's community.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.19	S239.003	Support	Allow	All additions	Proposed exclusions are appropriate	No	Transfer directly
FS10.20	S285.006	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.21	S43.003	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.22	S206.023	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly

FS10.23	S207.005	Support in part	Allow in part	If removing contaminants then environmentally hazardous substances should be inserted to cover sediment levels, etc.	Agree with general reasoning but definition needs to incorporate environmentally hazardous substances and should be defined by area rather than premise.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.24	S177.010	Support in part	Allow in part	Agree with deletion of contaminants but definition should refer to environmentally hazardous substances.	Agree with intent but environmentally hazardous substances may be more appropriate to capture sediment concentrations for example.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.25	S256.002	Support in part	Allow in part	Supports that the definition should apply to areas not the premise.	Many areas of waste sites are indoors with no exposure on roofs of buildings to hazardous substances. Therefore should only apply to exterior areas in these situations.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.26	S285.008	Support	Allow	All		No	Transfer directly
FS10.27	S256.005	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.28	S256.006	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point. The definition needs to be clear and allow for waste infrastructure in the rural zones.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.29	S2.018	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.30	S261.062	Oppose in part	Disallow in part	Delete restoring natural form and character	Restoring natural and character while ideal may not always be practicable, depending on existing urban development.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.31	S207.008	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.32	S2.019	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.33	S206.038	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.34	S207.009	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.35	S256.010	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.36	S207.011	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.37	S256.011	Support	Allow	All	Agree that policy will not allow for any infrastructure land use developments in the rural zone.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.38	S206.044	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.39	S43.013	Support	Allow	All	Refer to submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.40	S207.014	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly

FS10.41	S248.034	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point particularly with respect to high risk industrial or trade premises.	No	Transfer directly
FS10.42	S256.012	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.43	S207.023	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.44	S206.070	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
FS10.45	S248.055	Support	Allow	All	As per submission point	No	Transfer directly
	her Submissio	n Point					
Related origin	al Submitter *			~			
S1				`			
Related submi	ssion point *						
Select				~			
FS support op	pose						
Select				~			
Reasons							
Decision soug	ht						
Decision reque	ested *						
Select				~			